

Internal Appeals Procedure (Internal assessment decisions)2023/24

Queen Elizabeth II High School

Internal Appeals Procedure (Internal assessment decisions)2023/24

Centre name	Queen Elizabeth II High School
Centre number	45011
Date procedure first created	05/10/2023
Current procedure approved by	Mr John Kinley
Current procedure reviewed by	Paula Venezia
Date of next review	18/09/2024

Key staff involved in the procedure

Role	Name
Head of centre	Mrs Charlotte Clarke
Senior leader(s)	Jason Coole (Deputy Head), Julie Ozer (Business Manager), Joanne Steriopulos (Assistant Head), John Kinley (Assistant Head), Olivia Graham (Assistant Head) Steven Slack (Assistant Head Data and Assessment)
Exams officer	Paula Venezia
Other staff (if applicable)	IT centre manager Chris Horton

This procedure is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that appeals against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) at Queen Elizabeth II High School are managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations in the JCQ publications **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (GR 5.7), **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments** (ICNEA 6.1). This procedure is also informed bythe JCQ publications **Reviews of marking (centre assessed marks) suggested template for centres** and **Notice to Centres - Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks**.

Introduction

Certain qualifications contain components of non-examination assessment (or units of coursework) which are internally assessed (marked) by Queen Elizabeth II High School and internally reviewed/standardised. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

The moderation process carried out by the awarding body may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body ensures that centre marking is in line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should therefore be considered provisional.

The qualifications delivered at Queen Elizabeth II High School containing components of non-examination assessment/units of coursework are: GCSE, GCE, Entry Level Certificate, Project qualifications, Btec Tech awards, Nationals, vocational qualifications.

Purpose of the procedure

The purpose of this procedure is to confirm the arrangements at Queen Elizabeth II High School for dealing with candidate appeals relating to internal assessment decisions.

This procedure ensures compliance with JCQ regulations which state that centres must:

- have in place and be available for inspection, a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal
 assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available
 and accessible to all candidates
- before submitting marks to the awarding body inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking

Principles relating to centre assessed marks

The head of centre/senior leader(s) at Queen Elizabeth II High School will ensure that the following principles are in place in relation to marking the work of candidates:

- A commitment to ensuring that whenever teaching staff mark candidates' work, that this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents
- All centre staff follow a robust Non-examination Assessment Policy (for the management of non- examination assessments). This policy details all procedures relating to non-examination assessments for relevant qualifications delivered in the centre, including the marking and quality assurance/internal standardisation processes which relevant teaching staff are required to follow
- Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who
 have been trained in this activity
- A commitment to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements
 of the awarding body. Where more than one subject teacher/tutor is involved in marking candidates' work,
 internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking
- On being informed of their centre assessed mark(s), in person and/or via the centres Intouch messaging service
 (where appropriatte) if candidates believes that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the
 marking of their work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the marking standards to their marking,
 then they may make use of the internal appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the
 centre's marking

Additional centre-specific principles:

Procedure for appealing internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

The head of centre/senior leader(s) at Queen Elizabeth II High School will:

- Ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks, via the centres Intouch messaging system and/or in person (where appropriate) so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body.
- Inform candidates, , via the centres Intouch messaging system and/or in person (where appropriate), that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of work submitted
- Inform candidate, , via the centres Intouch messaging system and/or in person (where appropriate) s that they may request copies of materials (generally as a minimum, a copy of the marked assessment material (work) and the mark scheme or assessment criteria plus additional materials which may vary from subject to subject) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment
- Having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate (for some
 marked assessment materials, such as artwork and recordings, inform the candidate that the originals will be
 shared under supervised conditions) within the period of time as specified
 (see Deadlines below)
- Provide candidates with sufficient time to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision, informing candidates that if their decision is to request a review they will need to explain what they believe the issue to be
- Provide a clear deadline for candidates, , via the centres Intouch messaging system and/or in person (where appropriate) to submit a request for a review of the centre's marking and confirm understanding that requests must be made in writing and will not be accepted after this deadline (see **Deadlines** below). This is done in person and via the centres Intouch messaging service.
- Require candidates to make requests for a review of centre marking by completing an internal appeals form within 5 working days of receiving marks.
- Allow sufficient time for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the
 candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission of marks (see **Deadlines**below)
- Ensure that the review of marking is conducted by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate for the component in question and has no personal interest in the outcome of the review
- Instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre
- Inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking
- Ensure the outcome of the review of the centre's marking is made known to the head of centre who will have the final decision if there is any disagreement on the mark to be submitted to the awarding body
- Ensure a written record of the review is kept and made available to the awarding body upon request
- Ensure the awarding body is informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review

Additional centre-specific procedure:

Not applicable.

Deadlines and timescales

- Upon request, copies of materials will be made available to the candidate within five working days.
- The deadline to request a review of marking must be made within five working days. of the candidate receiving copies of the requested materials
- The process for completing the review, making any changes to marks, and informing the candidate of the
 outcome will be completed within five working days., all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission
 of marks

Changes 2023/2024

(Changed) Under **Procedure for appealing internal assessment decisions**: inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of their work in meeting the published assessment criteria (To) inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of work submitted

Centre-specific changes

Upon review in September 2023, no centre-specific updates or changes were applicable to this document.

Internal Appeals form							
 Appeal against an internal assessment decision and/or request for a review of marking Appeal against the centre's decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal 							
Candidate Name		Candidate Number					
Awarding body		Exam paper code					
Qualification type Subject		Exam paper title					
Please state the grounds for your appeal below							
(If applicable, tick be	low)						
Where my appeal is against an internal assessment decision I wish to request a review of the centre's marking If necessary continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed							
Candidate Signature:	date Signature: Date of signature:						

This form must be signed, dated and returned to the Exams Officer on behalf of the head of centre within five calendar days of receiving your internal assessment marks or your review decision.

Complaints and Appeals log

On receipt, all complaints/appeals are assigned a reference number and logged.

The outcome of any reviews of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre and will be logged as a complaint. A written record will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request. Should the review of the centre's marking bring any irregularity in procedures to light, the awarding body will be informed immediately. The awarding body will be informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review – this will be noted on this log.

Ref No.	Date received	Complaint or Appeal	Outcome	Outcome date

Further guidance to inform and implement appeals procedures

JCQ

General Regulations for Approved Centres https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations

Post-Results Services

https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/post-results-services

JCQ Appeals Booklet

https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals

Notice to Centres – informing candidates of their centre assessed marks https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments

Ofqual

GCSE (A* to G) qualification-level conditions and requirements https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-a-to-g-qualification-level-conditions-and-requirements

GCE qualification-level conditions and requirements https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gce-qualification-level-conditions-and-requirements

Pre-reform GCE qualification-level conditions and requirements https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gce-qualification-level-conditions-for-pre-reform-qualifications